



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/808,131	03/15/2001		Takumi Hasegawa	NEC2120-US	4638		
21254	7590	11/10/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD				KOSOWSKI, ALEXANDER J			
SUITE 200	OOKINO	OSE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817				2125			

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/808,131	HASEGAWA, TAKUMI 🦠		
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alexander J Kosowski	2125		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess	
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2004 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.112.	to avoid abandonment of this a er: (1) a timely filed amendment ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	pplication. A proper reply which places the application	to a on in	
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration data (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	f this Advisory Action, or (2) the date sexpire later than SIX MONTHS from the YWAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS The date on which the petition under eriod of extension and the correspondinate of the shortened statutory period for the Office later than three months after the	mailing date of the final rejection OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approp g amount of the fee. The approp reply originally set in the final O	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension ffice action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37	7 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismis	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ed because:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	ote below);			

(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: ___ L-P.1-LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to the claims in the after-final amendment filed 10/20/04 have changed the scope of the claims, since the pre-amble was previously not given patentable weight. Therefore, an updated search would be required to determine patentability of the newly amended claims. Therefore, the entire application is not in condition for allowance.